under the law are appropriately sealed from public inspection by order of the Court. Civ. L.R. 79-5(a). California law defines a "trade secret" as "information ... that derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to the public or to other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use and is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy." Cal. Civ. Code § 3426.1(d) (internal punctuation and capitalization omitted).

Compelling reasons support the sealing of the Agreement. First, the Agreement is protectable as a trade secret under California Law because it contains information that is kept secret by the parties in order to preserve the economic value inherent in its description of the commercial relationship among the parties to the Agreement. See Cal. Civ. Code § 3426.1(d). Furthermore, the Agreement contains private commercial information that is of little, if any, public concern. The Agreement specifically details the rights and obligations of the parties with respect to their commercial relationship. The Agreement contains a confidentiality provision which designates the terms of the agreement as confidential. See Sand Decl. ¶ 3. Each page of the agreement is marked "Confidential." Id. Both parties have acknowledged the confidential nature of the Agreement. See id. ¶¶ 3-4; Complaint ¶ 35. Because the confidential and protected nature of the Agreement outweighs any interest in public access to the Agreement, the Agreement should be sealed by Order of the Court. See generally Hagestad v. Tragesser, 49 F.3d 1430, 1434 (9th Cir. 1995) (trial court has discretion to determine whether the presumption in favor of public access to court documents is overridden by particular facts of a case).

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

//

23 //

24 //

25

26

//

//

27

28

COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN DIEGO

	Case 5:07-cv-06454-RMW		Document 13	Filed 02/12/2008	Page 3 of 3	
1	II. CONCLUSION					
2		For the foregoing reasons, eBay hereby requests that the Court enter an order designating				
3	that th	that the Agreement be filed under seal.				
4	Dated	: February 12, 2008	CO M	OOLEY GODWARD I ICHAEL G. RHODES EATHER C. MESERV	KRONISH LLP 5 (116127)	
5			W	HITTY SOMVICHIA	N (194463)	
7						
8			<u>s/I</u> He	Heather C. Meservy eather C. Meservy ttorneys for Defendant		
9			At Er	torneys for Defendant nail: hmeservy@coole	eBay, Inc. v.com	
10					5	
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
PD	II			ED ANG ANA	mucen a rule Morrow to Eur	

COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN DIEGO